tion and Enzymatic

ammary and Colon 17, 2759–2762, 1987. dence in Chemically

The Effect of Elevated Selenium Intake on Colonic Cellular Growth in Rats

Arline D. Salbe, Demetrius Albanes, Myron Winick, Philip R. Taylor, Daniel W. Nixon, and Orville A. Levander

Abstract

Both selenium and calorie restriction are anticarcinogenic in many tumor models, but the mechanisms of action are unknown. This study compared the effects of elevated selenium (Se) intake and calorie restriction on colonic cellular growth. Female weanling rats were divided into four groups: control, 40% calorie restricted, and 4 or 6 mg Se/l H₂O as selenate. Control rats and rats given Se consumed the control diet ad libitum. Rats in the 40% calorie-restricted group were pair fed 40% less than the total intake of control rats with a diet designed to provide equal nutrients except calories from carbohydrate. After three weeks, rats were injected with [3H]thymidine (1 μ Ci/g body wt) and killed one hour later. Se at 4 and 6 mg/l H_2O and 40% calorie restriction significantly decreased food intake, weight gain, colon weight, and total colon DNA compared with controls. Total number of cells per crypt was not affected by any treatment, whereas total DNA synthesis was significantly decreased, suggesting that the total number of colonic crypts are reduced by calorie restriction and Se treatment. The rate of cell division was decreased only in rats given 6 mg Se/l H₂O. These results indicate that elevated Se intake and caloric restriction decrease colonic mucosal growth by decreasing growth in general, but only very high intakes of Se affect colonic cell turnover. (Nutr Cancer 13, 81-87, 1990)

Introduction

Certain epidemiological studies suggest that the incidence of cancer at various sites is inversely associated with selenium (Se) status (1-3). In some experimental animal models, supplemental Se compounds at high levels are effective chemopreventive agents against tumors originating in epithelial tissues such as liver (4), skin (5), mammary tissue (6), and colon (7,8). The mechanism of Se action is unknown, but hypotheses include protection

A.D. Salbe and O.A. Levander are affiliated with the US Department of Agriculture, Human Nutrition Research Center, Beltsville, MD 20705. D. Albanes, M. Winick, P.R. Taylor, and D.W. Nixon are affiliated with the Cancer Prevention Research Program, Division of Cancer Prevention and Control, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892.

against lipid peroxidation, modification of carcinogen metabolism or carcinogen-DNA interactions, and inhibition of DNA or protein synthesis (9).

Evidence indicates that body weight and caloric intake are positively correlated with tumor incidence in rodents (10-13); it has been suggested that decreased body size, resulting in fewer total cells that undergo mitosis and are susceptible to mutation, may be a key factor related to mammary and colon carcinogenesis (14). Growth inhibition also results from exposure to elevated intakes of Se (15). This study, therefore, was designed to compare the effects of elevated Se intake and calorie restriction on cellular growth and cell proliferation in rat colon.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Timed-pregnant Sprague-Dawley dams (Charles River, Wilmington, MA) were received in the laboratory seven days before parturition. Dams were housed in plastic cages with wood shavings used as bedding and were allowed free access to Purina Rat Chow (Product 5001, Ralston Purina, St. Louis, MO) and distilled water during pregnancy and lactation. Temperature was controlled at $24 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C, and a 12:12-hour light-dark schedule was maintained. One day postpartum, litter sizes were equalized to 12 pups per litter. No rejection of added pups was noted, and lactation proceeded without difficulty. At the time of weaning (21 days postpartum), pups of each litter were rank ordered by sex and body weight and housed individually in wire mesh cages. The pups were randomly assigned to one of four groups: control, calorie restricted, 4 mg Se/l H_2O , or 6 mg Se/l H_2O . Se in the drinking water was in the form of Na_2SeO_4 . The levels of Se used in this study were chosen to reflect dose and route used in several experimental carcinogenesis studies (16). Body weight was measured at weaning, weekly until the end of the experiment, and just before killing.

Diets

The diets were based on the formulations of Ruggeri and co-workers (17) as previously described (13). Rats in the control group and rats in the 4 and 6 mg Se/l $\rm H_2O$ groups were fed the control diet ad libitum. Rats in the calorie-restricted group were pair fed 40% less than the nearest weight-matched (immediately postweaning) and sex-matched animal in the control group with a diet providing equal nutrients except calories from carbohydrate. Food spillage was estimated and accounted for daily. Animals were fed daily (late in the afternoon) and were maintained on these dietary regimens for 21 days.

Experimental Procedures

Female rats only were used for the experimental procedures. Although we intended to have seven male and seven female rats in each group, mistakes in ascertaining the sex of the rats at weaning were discovered at the end of the feeding period. Consequently, there were seven female rats each in the control and calorie-restricted groups and five and six female rats each in the 4-mg and 6-mg Se-treated groups, respectively. Rats were injected with [³H]thymidine, and colons were prepared for histology and extraction of DNA, RNA, and protein as previously described (13). The analytical procedures including autoradiography and quantitation of DNA, RNA, and protein were also as described (13).



carcinogen-DNA

related with tumor v size, resulting in ay be a key factor also results from ed to compare the l cell proliferation

a) were received in a cages with wood ow (Product 5001, by and lactation. ark schedule was ps per litter. No culty. At the time by sex and body ly assigned to one of 1 H₂O. Se in the tudy were chosen udies (16). Body, and just before

17) as previously H₂O groups were pair fed 40% less ned animal in the bohydrate. Food in the afternoon)

intended to have ne sex of the rats there were seven female rats each a [³H]thymidine, and protein as adiography and Statistical Analyses

Data were analyzed by ANOVA and tested with Duncan's multiple-range test (NWA STATPAK, Northwest Analytical, Portland, OR).

Results

Food intake, calorie intake, weight gain, and body length were significantly reduced in calorie-restricted rats and in rats drinking water containing 4 or 6 mg Se/l as compared with controls fed ad libitum (Table 1). At 4 mg Se/l $\rm H_2O$, rats ate 39% more calories but gained the same amount of weight as calorie-restricted rats did. At 6 mg Se/l $\rm H_2O$, rats ate 10% more calories but gained 44% less weight than calorie-restricted rats did, resulting in significantly reduced calorie efficiency ratios in both groups of Se-treated rats compared with calorie-restricted and control animals. Body length was significantly reduced in rats given 6 but not 4 mg Se/l $\rm H_2O$ compared with calorie-restricted rats.

Colon weight, but not length, was significantly reduced in calorie-restricted and Se-treated rats compared with controls (Table 2). Total colon DNA, RNA, and protein were also significantly reduced in calorie-restricted and Se-treated rats compared with controls, but cell size (protein-DNA ratio) was not affected by any treatment. There were no significant differences in colon weight or DNA, RNA, or protein content between calorie-restricted and Se-treated rats. Total DNA synthesis (dpm/colon) was significantly reduced in calorie-restricted rats and in both Se-supplemented groups compared with controls. By contrast, the rate of cell division (dpm/mg DNA) was significantly decreased only in the rats given 6 mg Se/l H₂O compared with all other groups.

Results of the histological analyses indicate that the only significant differences appear in the number and percent of labeled cells in the proximal portion of the colon (Table 3). Both groups of Se-treated rats had significantly fewer labeled cells and a lower percentage of labeled cells compared with calorie-restricted and control rats. A similar trend is present in the distal colon, but the results lack statistical significance.

Discussion

The results of this investigation indicate that calorie restriction and elevated Se intakes significantly reduce colonic growth in rats. The number of cells within each crypt (crypt

Table 1. Effect of Elevated Selenium Intake and Calorie Restriction on Food Intake and Body Weight and Length of Rats^{a,b}

| Group | No. of Animals/ Group | Food Intake, g | Calorie Intake, kcal | Weight Gain, g | Calorie Efficiency Ratio ^c | Final Weight, g | Body Length, cm |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Control Calorie | 7 | 248 ± 25* | 905 ± 93* | 98 ± 13* | 0.11 ± 0.01* | 131 ± 15* | 15.2 ± 0.5* |
| restricted Given 4 mg | 7 | $158 \pm 20^{\dagger}$ | $548 \pm 68^{\dagger}$ | $61 \pm 7^{\ddagger}$ | 0.11 ± 0.01* | $95 \pm 8^{\ddagger}$ | $13.7 \pm 0.6^{\ddagger}$ |
| Se/l H ₂ O Given 6 mg | 5 | $209 \pm 34^{\ddagger}$ | 763 ± 122 [‡] | $68 \pm 8^{\ddagger}$ | $0.09 \pm 0.01^{\ddagger}$ | $101 \pm 10^{\ddagger}$ | $14.3 \pm 1.3^{+,1}$ |
| Se/l H ₂ O | 6 | $166 \pm 38^{\dagger}$ | $606 \pm 138^{\dagger}$ | $38 \pm 15^{\dagger}$ | $0.06~\pm~0.02^{\dagger}$ | $72 \pm 15^{\dagger}$ | $12.6 \pm 1.1^{\dagger}$ |

- a: Values are means ± SD. Means within a column not sharing common superscripts are significantly different (p < 0.001). Data were analyzed by ANOVA and were tested with Duncan's multiple-range test.</p>
- b: Total food intake, calorie intake, and weight gain were measured for 3 wks. Final weight and rat length were measured on day of death.
- c: Calorie efficiency ratio is calculated as weight gain/calorie intake.

| Table 2. E. | riect of Ele | 1 able 2. Effect of Elevated Selenium Intake and Calorie Restriction on Rat Colon Size, Macromolecule Content, and DNA Synthesis ^a | Intake and (| Salorie Restric | ction on Rat C | olon Size, Ma | cromolecule Co | ontent, and Di | VA Synthesisa |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| | No. of | | | | | | | Total DNA | Rate of Cell |
| Group | Animals/ Group | Colon Weight, g | Colon Length, cm | Total Colon DNA, mg | Total Colon RNA, mg | Total Colon Protein, mg | Protein:DNA Pario | Synthesis, dpm/colon | Division, dpm/mg DNA |
| Control | 7 | 0.77 + 0.12* | 17.2 ± 1.8* | 5000 | | | Company | × 10 | × 10-5 |
| Calorie | | | 0.1 # 4.7 | 5.5 ± 0.8° | 4.2 ± 0.6 * | $69.1 \pm 10.9*$ | 13.0 ± 1.3 * | 12.1 ± 2.8 * | 2.27 ± 0.73* |
| restricted | 7 | $0.63 \pm 0.06^{\dagger}$ | $15.4 \pm 1.4*$ | $3.9 \pm 0.9^{\dagger}$ | 3.1 ± 0.9 ^{†.‡} | $46.7 \pm 14.5^{\dagger}$ | 11.8 + 1.5* | † - - | |
| Se/I H O | ¥ | *************************************** | | | | | 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 0.4 H 1.7 | 2.21 ± 0.34 * |
| Given 6 mg | n | 0.00 ± 0.06° | $15.8 \pm 1.9*$ | $4.1 \pm 0.5^{\dagger}$ | $3.4 \pm 0.4^{\dagger}$ | $52.0 \pm 10.3^{\dagger}$ | 12.5 ± 1.3* | 9.7 ± 1.8*. [†] | 2.34 ± 0.23* |
| Se/1 H ₂ O | 9 | $0.52\pm0.07^{\dagger}$ | 15.1.± 1.3* | $3.5 \pm 0.3^{+}$ | $2.6 \pm 0.4^{\ddagger}$ | 42.2 + 6.01 | 110 - 11* | • | |
| | | | | | | 200 | 11.7 ± 1.1° | $5.4 \pm 1.4^{+}$ | 1.53 ± 0.33 |
| F Value | | < 0.001 | NS | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | SN | 100 | |
| a: Values are | means ± SD. | . Means within a co | Juma not charie | | | | C. I | < 0.001 | < 0.05 |
| tested with | Duncan's mu | tested with Duncan's multiple-range test. | ordini ilot silal III | g common super: | scripts are signific | antly different as | indicated. Data we | ere analyzed by A | NOVA and were |
| b: NS, not significantly different. | nificantly dif | fferent. | | | | | | | |

Table 3. Effect of Elevated Selenium Intake and Calorie Restriction on Colon Histology in Rats^a

| | | Calorie | Given 4 mg Se/l | Given 6 mg Se/l |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Control | Restricted | H ₂ O | H ₂ O |
| | (n = 7) | (n = 7) | (n = 5) | $(n=5)^b$ |
| Crypt height, no. cells/crypt | | | | |
| Proximal | 30.6 ± 1.4 | 30.2 ± 1.8 | 28.9 ± 2.0 | 29.7 ± 2.0 |
| Medial | 40.6 ± 0.9 | 38.9 ± 2.8 | 39.0 ± 3.6 | 40.1 ± 3.2 |
| Distal | 37.1 ± 2.7 | 37.5 ± 2.2 | 37.8 ± 3.4 | 36.0 ± 3.3 |
| No. of labeled cells/ crypt column | | | | |
| Proximal | $3.3 \pm 0.7*$ | $2.9 \pm 0.6*$ | $1.7 \pm 0.9^{\dagger}$ | $2.0 \pm 0.7^{\dagger}$ |
| Medial | 2.2 ± 0.8 | 2.2 ± 0.7 | 2.0 ± 0.3 | 2.2 ± 0.9 |
| Distal | 2.6 ± 0.7 | 2.5 ± 1.3 | 2.1 ± 0.9 | 1.5 ± 0.9 |
| % Labeled cells | | | | |
| Proximal | $10.8 \pm 2.2*$ | $9.4 \pm 1.8^{*,\dagger}$ | $5.6 \pm 2.9^{\ddagger}$ | $6.7 \pm 2.5^{\dagger,\ddagger}$ |
| Medial | 5.6 ± 2.0 | 5.6 ± 1.5 | 5.3 ± 0.9 | 5.5 ± 2.6 |
| Distal | 7.0 ± 2.1 | 6.8 ± 3.5 | 5.5 ± 2.0 | 5.3 ± 2.3 |
| Highest labeled cell | | | | |
| Proximal | 16.7 ± 3.6 | 17.3 ± 5.5 | 13.6 ± 2.4 | 15.4 ± 3.8 |
| Medial | 26.6 ± 3.0 | 22.9 ± 2.9 | 23.2 ± 3.6 | 24.8 ± 5.6 |
| Distal | 19.1 ± 3.3 | 18.6 ± 4.2 | 16.8 ± 4.0 | 16.6 ± 1.8 |

a: Values are means \pm SD. Means within a row not sharing common superscripts are significantly different (p < 0.001). Data were analyzed by ANOVA and were tested with Duncan's multiple-range test.

height) was not affected by either calorie restriction or Se treatment, whereas the total number of cells (total colon DNA) and, therefore, the total number of dividing cells (dpm/colon) were decreased in all experimental groups. This suggests that the total number of crypts is reduced by calorie restriction and Se treatment. In rats given 6 mg Se/l $\rm H_2O$, cell proliferation (dpm/mg DNA) was also significantly reduced; the proximal colon was the site of this reduction.

Elevated Se intakes led to a reduction in weight gain and food consumption but, more importantly, to a decrease in food utilization. Se-treated rats gained less weight per calorie of food consumed than did both control and calorie-restricted rats. Although other researchers have reported decreased weight gain and food intake as a result of treatment with high levels of Se (15,18), the results of this study suggest that elevated Se intakes disrupt efficiency of energy utilization. Both the calorie-restricted rats and the animals receiving 4 mg Se/l H₂O increased their weight and length at the same rate although the animals treated with Se consumed 39% more calories. The reduction in colon weight, length, and total cell number was similar in both calorie-restricted rats and animals receiving 4 mg Se/l H₂O. In both groups the rate of DNA synthesis (dpm/mg DNA) was the same as in the control animals. By contrast, the animals receiving 6 mg Se/l H₂O showed significantly more growth retardation (reduced weight gain and body length) than did either of the other two experimental groups even though they were consuming the same number of calories as the calorie-restricted group did. In addition to the reduced number of cells seen in the other two experimental groups, rats given 6 mg Se/l H₂O also showed a reduced rate of DNA synthesis.

Thus, all of the biochemical data can be explained by the effect of growth retardation on cellular growth and proliferation rate of the colon. Moderate growth retardation produced either by 40% calorie restriction or by ingestion of 4 mg Se/l $\rm H_2O$ results in a reduced cellular growth in the colon, without any effect on the rate of cell division. More marked growth retardation produced by ingestion of 6 mg Se/l $\rm H_2O$ not only results in reduced

b: One animal was lost in this group.

cellular growth of the colon but also in a reduced rate of cell division. It is possible that the primary effect of Se excess in these experiments is to reduce the number of calories available for growth by inducing a reduction in both caloric intake and food utilization.

Changes in colon histology were seen only as a result of Se treatment and only in the proximal segment of the colon. These results agree with those of Tempero and colleagues (19), who were unable to report an effect of Se on colonic labeling indices in distal colon. By analyzing separate segments of the colon in this investigation, we are able to report that changes do occur but that they are restricted to the proximal segment. The decrease in number and percentage of labeled cells in the proximal colon are also of interest in light of the results of Banner and others (20), who reported that Se uptake is enhanced in the proximal colon compared with the distal colon, effects that parallel the antitumorigenic action of the element. Because the changes in colonic histology are seen in both Se-treated groups, they do not correlate with either food intake or amount of growth failure. The lack of a correlation suggests a specific effect of Se over and above its effect on caloric utilization.

Thus, the results of this study suggest that Se excess may affect colonic cellular growth and proliferation by two independent mechanisms. The first is by making fewer calories available for colonic cellular growth. The second is by direct inhibition of cell division primarily within the crypts of the proximal colon. Further studies in the form of pair-feeding trials are necessary to separate the effects of elevated Se intakes from the effects of decreased food availability on colonic growth.

Acknowledgments and Notes

Address reprint requests to O.A. Levander, USDA Human Nutrition Research Center, Bldg. 307, Rm. 220, Beltsville, MD 20705.

Submitted 3 March 1989; accepted in final form 7 June 1989.

References

- 1. Willett, WC, and Stampfer, MJ: "Selenium and Cancer." Br Med J 297, 573-574, 1988.
- 2. Willett, WC, Polk, BF, Morris, JS, Stampfer, MJ, Pressell, S, et al.: "Prediagnostic Serum Selenium and Risk of Cancer." Lancet 2, 130-134, 1983.
- Salonen, JT, Salonen, R, Lappetelainen, R, Maenpaa, PH, Alfthan, G, et al.: "Risk of Cancer in Relation to Serum Concentrations of Selenium and Vitamins A and E: Matched Case-Control Analysis of Prospective Data." Br Med J 290, 417-420, 1985.
- 4. Clayton, CC, and Baumann, CA: "Diet and Azo Dye Tumors: Effect of Diet During a Period When the Dye is Not Fed." Cancer Res 9, 575-582, 1949.
- Shamberger, RJ: "Relationship of Selenium to Cancer. I. Inhibitory Effect of Selenium on Carcinogenesis." JNCI 44, 931-936, 1970.
- Ip, C, and White, G: "Mammary Cancer Chemoprevention by Inorganic and Organic Selenium: Single Agent Treatment or in Combination With Vitamin E and Their Effect on in vitro Immune Functions." Carcinogenesis 8, 1763-1766, 1987.
- Birt, DF, Lawson, TA, Julius, AD, Runice, CE, and Salmasi, S: "Inhibition by Dietary Selenium of Colon Cancer Induced in the Rat by Bis(2-oxypropyl)nitrosamine." Cancer Res 42, 4455-4459, 1982.
- Jacobs, MM: "Selenium Inhibition of 1,2-Dimethylhydrazine-Induced Colon Carcinogenesis." Cancer Res 43, 1646-1649, 1983.
- Medina, D, and Morrison, DG: "Current Ideas on Selenium as a Chemopreventive Agent." Pathol Immunopathol Res 7, 187-199, 1987.
- 10. Albanes, D: "Total Calories, Body Weight and Tumor Incidence in Mice." Cancer Res 47, 1987-1992, 1987.
- Klurfeld, DM, Weber, MM, and Kritchevsky, D: "Inhibition of Chemically Induced Mammary and Colon Tumor Promotion by Caloric Restriction in Rats Fed Increased Dietary Fat." Cancer Res 47, 2759-2762, 1987.
- Pariza, MW: "Fat, Calories, and Mammary Carcinogenesis: Net Energy Effects." Am J Clin Nutr 45 Suppl, 261-263, 1987.
- 13. Albanes, D, Salbe, AD, Levander, OA, Taylor, PR, Nixon, DW, et al.: "The Effect of Early Caloric Restriction on Colonic Cellular Growth in Rats." Nutr Cancer 13, 73-80, 1990.

oossible that the alories available ion.

and only in the and colleagues distal colon. By e to report that The decrease in erest in light of nhanced in the antitumorigenic both Se-treated ailure. The lack loric utilization. ular growth and alories available primarily within eding trials are decreased food

ildg. 307, Rm. 220,

n Selenium and Risk

ancer in Relation to lysis of Prospective

eriod When the Dye

on Carcinogenesis."

enium: Single Agent ons." Carcinogenesis

Selenium of Colon 1982.

sis." Cancer Res 43,

ive Agent." Pathol

7, 1987-1992, 1987. ammary and Colon 87, 2759-2762, 1987. Clin Nutr 45 Suppl,

ct of Early Caloric

and Cancer 1990

- 14. Albanes, D, and Winick, M: "Are Cell Number and Cell Proliferation Risk Factors for Cancer?" JNCI 80, 772-775, 1988.
- 15. Olson, OE: "Selenium Toxicity in Animals With Emphasis on Man." J Am Coll Toxicol 5, 45-70, 1986.
- Combs, GF, Jr, and Combs, SB: The Role of Selenium in Nutrition. Orlando, FL: Academic, 1986, pp 417-424.
- Ruggeri, BA, Klurfeld, DM, and Kritchevsky, D: "Biochemical Alterations in 7,12-Dimethylbenz[a]anthracene-Induced Mammary Tumors From Rats Subjected to Caloric Restriction." Biochim Biophys Acta 929, 239-246, 1987.
- 18. Ip, C: "Factors Influencing the Anticarcinogenic Efficacy of Selenium in Dimethylbenz[a]anthracene-Induced Mammary Tumorigenesis in Rats." Cancer Res 41, 2683-2686, 1981.
- 19. Tempero, MA, Deschner, EE, and Zedeck, MS: "The Effect of Selenium on Cell Proliferation in Liver and Colon." Biol Trace Element Res 10, 145-152, 1986.
- Banner, WP, DeCosse, JJ, Tan, QH, and Zedeck, MS: "Selective Distribution of Selenium in Colon Parallels Its Antitumor Activity." Carcinogenesis 5, 1543-1546, 1984.